



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/521,907	03/09/2000	Stale Petter Lyngstadaas	49121	2801
21874	7590	03/12/2004	EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205			SAUNDERS, DAVID A	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		1644		

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
521,901			

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

*Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application***COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS****ADVISORY ACTION** THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

a) is extended to run _____ or continues to run 3/10/05 from the date of the final rejection
 b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

 Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 12/30/04 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 - a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
 - b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
 - c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
 - d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
 - e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: THE CLAIM AMENDMENTS COMPLETELY CHANGE THE NATURE OF THE INVENTION - FROM APPLYING THE SUBSTANCE TO THE GRAFT TO APPLYING THE SUBSTANCE TO THE GRAFT REJ. THIS CHANGE RAISES THE NEW CONSIDERATION AS TO WHETHER PREVIOUSLY WITHDRAWN PRIOR ART REJECTIONS SHOULD

2. Newly proposed or amended claims _____ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims allowed: _____

Claims objected to: _____

Claims rejected: 28 - 35, 41-55, 60

However:

 Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): _____

4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because _____

5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.

 The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.

Other BE RESTATED. IF APPLYING THE SUBSTANCE TO THE GRAFT REJ IS WHAT APPLICANT WANTS TO CLAIM, THERE IS NO GOOD REASON FOR NOT CLAIMING THIS IN THE AMENDMENT OF 4/30/03. NEW CLAIM 65 PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89) RECITES LIMITATION THAT OO

DAVID SAUNDERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 182 / 644